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## Executive Summary

The Spectrum Management Authority ("SMA" or "the Client") engaged Engine Room Limited ("the Consultant") to develop spectrum fee structures for frequency bands as specified in the Terms of Reference. Our recommendations follow.

Mobile Service

| Band | Price per MHz per population <br> (US\$) | Price per MHz <br> (US\$) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 700 MHz | 0.024 | 69,600 |
| 850 MHz | 0.013 | 38,280 |
| 900 MHz | 0.012 | 34,800 |
| 1800 MHz | 0.010 | 27,840 |
| 1900 MHz | 0.012 | 34,800 |
| AWS | 0.017 | 48,720 |

Fixed Service

| Parameter | Point to Point | Point to Multipoint |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Fee | Values per MHz are <br> - \$20,000 above 0 MHz <br> - \$15,000 above 50 MHz <br> - \$10,000 above 100 MHz | \$350,000 per MHz |
| Bandwidth factor | Bandwidth | Bandwidth |
| Frequency Band factor | Values are <br> - 1.80 below 4.2 GHz <br> - 1.60 for $5.925-7.125 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.40 for $7.110-7.725 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.20 for $7.725-8.500 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.00 for $10.150-10.650 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.80 for $10.700-11.700 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.60 for $12.750-15.350 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.40 for $17.700-23.600 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.20 for above 24.250 GHz | Values are <br> - 1.80 below 4.2 GHz <br> - 1.60 for $5.925-7.125 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.40 for $7.110-7.725 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.20 for $7.725-8.500 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.00 for $10.150-10.650 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.80 for $10.700-11.700 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.60 for $12.750-15.350 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.40 for $17.700-23.600 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.20 for above 24.250 GHz |
| Zone factor | Values are <br> - 0.10 for Zone 1 <br> - 0.06 for Zone 2 <br> - 0.04 for Zone 3 | Values are <br> - 0.10 for urban service <br> - 0.06 for island wide service <br> - 0.04 for rural only service |
| Network Type factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Commercial networks <br> - 0.50 for Private networks | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Commercial networks <br> - 0.50 for Private networks |


| Exclusivity factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Exclusive use <br> - 0.50 for Shared use | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Exclusive use <br> - 0.50 for Shared use |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| License Tenure factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for 5 years <br> - 1.70 for 10 years <br> - 2.20 for 15 years | Values are <br> - 1.00 for 5 years <br> - 1.70 for 10 years <br> - 2.20 for 15 years |
| Efficiency factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Category 1 <br> - 0.75 for Category 2 | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Category 1 <br> - 0.75 for Category 2 |
| Inflation factor | Values are as published by the Statistical Institute of Jamaica | Values are as published by the Statistical Institute of Jamaica |

Fixed Satellite Service

| Number of VSAT terminals | Annual Spectrum Fee (J\$) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Up to 30 | 200,000 |
| More than 30 | 6,500 per terminal |


| Earth Station | Annual Spectrum Fee (J\$) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Emergency | 50,000 |
| Commercial | 500,000 |

## Regulatory Fees

Between 2016/2017 and 2019/2020, reliance on a capital grant transfer increased from $8 \%$ to $18 \%$ of budgeted income. In 2020/2021, this is forecast to increase to $27 \%$. Options to address the shortfall include:

| Reduce budgeted expenditure | $\square \quad$This limits the SMA's planned activities <br> over multiple financial periods |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Continue to finance using capital grant transfer | $\square$This is necessarily a temporary strategy as <br> funds are finite |
| Increase regulatory fees by a minimum of 40\% | $\square \quad$A significant increase in fees is normally <br> problematic, but is particularly sensitive <br> in the period of economic uncertainty <br> associated with global pandemic |
| Absorb a minimum of 15\% of spectrum license <br> fees | $\square \quad$This is a policy decision outside the scope <br> of this project |
| A combination | $\square \quad$This is a policy decision outside the scope <br> of this project |

## Section 1 - Background

The Spectrum Management Authority ("SMA" or "the Client") engaged Engine Room Limited ("the Consultant") to develop spectrum fee structures for the following frequency bands allocated for the listed services in ITU Region 2:

- Mobile Service (MS): $700 \mathrm{MHz}, 850 \mathrm{MHz}, 900 \mathrm{MHz}, 1800 \mathrm{MHz}, 1900 \mathrm{MHz}$ and $1700 / 2100 \mathrm{MHz}$ (AWS)
- Fixed Service(FS):
A. Point to Point: $5 \mathrm{GHz}, 6 \mathrm{GHz}, 7 \mathrm{GHz}, 8 \mathrm{GHz}, 11 \mathrm{GHz}, 13 \mathrm{GHz}, 15 \mathrm{GHz}, 18 \mathrm{GHz}$ and 23 GHz B. Point to Multipoint: $5 \mathrm{GHz}, 2.5 / 2.6 \mathrm{GHz}$ and $3.5 \mathrm{GHz}^{1}$
- Fixed Satellite Service (FSS):
A. C-Band: $3.625-4.2 \mathrm{GHz}$ (space to earth), $5.850-6.425 \mathrm{GHz}$ (earth to space)
B. Ku-Band:12-18 GHz
C. Ka-Band: $18-30 \mathrm{GHz}$ (earth to space), $26-40 \mathrm{GHz}$ (space to earth)

This project builds on technical assistance received from the International Telecommunication Union Regional Office to assist in the re-development of the National Spectrum Licence Fees Framework. We consider recent and likely future technology and market changes that may affect demand for spectrum in bands used by fixed links.

[^0]
## Section 2 - Mobile Service

## General Literature Review

During the data collection stage of our project, we reviewed multiple benchmarking reports with emphasis on exercises conducted in the United Kingdom and The Bahamas. We incorporated the UK analysis because of our intention to base our pricing model on international best practice, in line with the project's Terms of References. We incorporated the Bahamian analysis due to its exceptional applicability as an example of a pricing exercise within the region with objectives loosely in line with those of our current project.

Case Study: The Bahamas
The Utilities Regulation and Competition Authority ("URCA") commissioned a benchmarking report, with the main objective of developing a fee schedule that promotes optimal spectrum use. The study's starting point was to benchmark values using auction prices from neighbouring Caribbean countries. However, due to limited Caribbean auction data, URCA expanded its sample beyond the region. Ultimately, URCA benchmarked spectrum values in The Bahamas against countries in the Caribbean, Central America and Europe, while controlling for differences in population, exchange rate and time.

Table 2.1: Characteristics of benchmark countries - Caribbean countries

| Country | GDP per capita, PPP <br> (Current US\$) | Population Density <br> (People per sq. km) | Mobile Penetration <br> (\%) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Bahamas | 32,217 | 39 | 99 |
| Antigua \& Barbuda | 20,656 | 219 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| Barbados | 16,607 | 667 | 123 |
| Jamaica | 8,307 | 271 | 101 |
| Puerto Rico | 36,208 | 360 | 110 |
| Trinidad \& Tobago | 32,831 | 271 | 140 |
| Turks \& Caicos | 19,542 | 40 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{a}$ |
| U.S. Virgin Islands | - | 306 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{a}$ |

Source: World Bank Development Indicators

Where necessary, the analysis included European spectrum bands considered as comparable in value to the ones under analysis in The Bahamas. We summarize the Bahamian bands and the corresponding bands in Europe below.

Table 2.2: Harmonized Frequency Bands for Mobile Services in Europe and the Americas

| Europe |  | Bahamas |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Band Identifier | Frequencies | Band Identifier | Frequencies |
| 450 MHz | $450-457 / 460-467 \mathrm{MHz}$ |  |  |


| 800 MHz | $791-821 / 832-862 \mathrm{MHz}$ | 700 MHz | $698-716 / 728-746 \mathrm{MHz}$ <br> $746-757 / 776-787 \mathrm{MHz}$ <br> $716-728 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 900 MHz | $880-915 / 925-960 \mathrm{MHz}$ | 850 MHz | $824-849 / 869-894 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| 1800 MHz | $1710-1785 / 1805-1880 \mathrm{MHz}$ | 1900 MHz | $1850-1910 / 1930-1990 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| 2100 MHz | $1920-1980 / 2110-2170 \mathrm{MHz}$ <br> $1900-1920 \mathrm{MHz}$ <br> $2010-2025 \mathrm{MHz}$ | AWS | $1710-1755 / 2110-2155 \mathrm{MHz}$ <br> $2000-2020 / 2180-2200 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| 2.3 GHz | $2300-2400 \mathrm{MHz}$ |  | $2305-2314 / 2350-2360 \mathrm{MHz}$ |
| 2.6 GHz | $2.50-2.69 \mathrm{GHz}$ | 2.5 GHz | $2496-2690 \mathrm{MHz}$ |

Source: URCA

URCA's findings included the average value and range for each band as follows:
Table 2.3: Annual Fee Benchmarks by Frequency Band (US\$/MHz/Pop)

| Frequency Band | Low | High | Average |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 700 | 0.0369 | 0.1173 | 0.0684 |
| 800 | 0.0428 | 0.0428 | 0.0428 |
| 850 | 0.0316 | 0.0925 | 0.0625 |
| 900 | 0.0065 | 0.1401 | 0.0543 |
| 1800 | 0.0026 | 0.1401 | 0.0580 |
| 1900 | 0.0311 | 0.1831 | 0.0775 |
| 2100 | 0.0065 | 0.0637 | 0.0378 |
| AWS | 0.0107 | 0.0144 | 0.0120 |

Source: URCA

From these findings, URCA's recommended prices were:
Table 2.4: Recommended Annual Fees

| Band Grouping | Overall Average <br> $(U S \$ / \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{Pop})$ | Recommended <br> $(U S \$ / \mathrm{MHz} / \mathrm{Pop})$ | Recommended <br> $(\mathrm{US} \$ / \mathrm{MHz})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sub 1 GHz | 0.0570 | 0.04 | 14,900 |
| $1-2.1 \mathrm{GHz}$ | 0.0463 | 0.02 | 7,400 |
| Above 2.1 GHz | 0.0059 | 0.002 | 700 |

Source: URCA

Case Study: United Kingdom
Ofcom undertook a revision of annual licence fees for the 900 MHz and 1800 MHz bands in order to reflect their full market values, as directed by the UK government. To guide this revision, Ofcom commissioned an examination of the market value of spectrum in other jurisdictions.

Due to the limited number of competitive auctions for 900 MHz and 1800 Mz in the UK, the study included price information from jurisdictions in Europe and North America.

Table 2.5 Characteristics of benchmark countries

| Country | GDP per capita <br> (Current US\$) | Population Density <br> (People per sq. km ) | Mobile Penetration <br> $(\%)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UK | 37,161 | 259 | 130 |
| Italy | 33,005 | 207 | 158 |
| Germany | 39,284 | 235 | 140 |
| France | 35,677 | 119 | 104 |
| Spain | 33,275 | 93 | 125 |
| USA | 49,295 | 34 | 103 |

Source: World Bank Development Indicators

Where necessary, the analysis accounted for differences in frequency allocation by ITU zone by including other spectrum bands that may be of comparable value. The specified bands and the comparable bands in Europe and the USA are:

Table 2.6: Comparable Frequency Bands for Mobile Services in Europe and the USA

| UK | Europe | USA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 900 MHz | 800 MHz | 700 MHz |
| 1800 MHz | 2.1 GHz | 2.1 GHz |
|  | 2.6 GHz | 2.6 GHz |

Source: Ofcom

Ofcom's findings included the range for each band in GBP as follows:

Table 2.7: Benchmark fees

| Frequency Band | Spectrum Award <br> benchmarks | Renewal fee <br> benchmarks | Spectrum Trade <br> benchmarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 800 MHz | $0.42-0.74$ | $0.23-0.63$ | $1.10-3.55$ |
| 900 MHz | $0.35-0.46$ |  | $0.12-0.25$ |
| 1800 MHz | $0.21-0.42$ | 0.19 | $0.21-0.53$ |
| $2 . \mathrm{GHz}$ | $0.27-0.54$ |  |  |

## Source: Ofcom

## Analysis

To establish the market value of Jamaican spectrum in the listed bands, we make use of a wide range of evidence from other jurisdictions, with particular emphasis on:

- Prices paid in spectrum auctions; and
- Studies on spectrum value by consultants, regulators, academics, etc.

We primarily base our findings on our analysis of data from auctions of radio spectrum in the listed bands and in comparable frequency bands, then cross-check our findings with results from similar studies conducted in other jurisdictions.

It is widely established that spectrum prices set through an effective and competitive auction process should provide the clearest indication of market value. For that reason, we rely on auction outcomes to guide our pricing exercise. We use spectrum awards data from the Policy Tracker (PT) database, which includes information from 62 counties worldwide. We also use country-specific economic and demographic data from the World Bank's World Development Indicators (WDI) database and from the Economist Intelligence Unit (EIU) database.

- We account for differences in license duration by converting all prices into the equivalent value for a 15-year licence tenure.
- We account for currency differences and for inflation by using prices expressed in United States dollars in 2019 terms from the PT database.
- We account for levels of affluence by using Gross Domestic Product (at Purchasing Power Parity) per capita from the WDI database.
- We account for the changing market circumstances by excluding auction prices that are more than 10 years old.
- We analyse all prices on a "per MHz per capita" and on a "per MHz per unit of GDP per capita, PPP" basis for comparison across jurisdictions.

We look at available spectrum award data across a number of mobile frequency bands, with a preference for benchmarking against countries with similar characteristics to Jamaica e.g. population density, GDP per capita and mobile penetration. We assign the greatest significance to spectrum prices in neighbouring Caribbean countries. However, as there is limited Caribbean auction data, we expand our analysis to include comparable non-Caribbean countries within the ITU Region 2. Even with this expansion, auction data remains limited. Therefore, we also consider spectrum prices in a wider sample of countries within the Americas.

Table 2.8: Economic and Demographic Factors of Benchmarking Countries in the Americas

| Country | GDP p. capita, PPP <br> US\$ (2019) | Population <br> (Million) | Population density <br> $($ People per km ) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Mobile Penetration <br> (\%) |
| :---: |
| Argentina |
| Brazil |

Source: World Bank Development Indicators

We also look at auction values recorded in jurisdictions outside the Americas. When contemplating countries outside of ITU Zone 2, we consider the frequency bands used within the zone that are comparable to Zone 2 frequency bands.

We observe the following average price for each frequency band by region.
Table 2.9: Price per MHz per Population by Region (US\$/MHz/Population)

|  | Americas | Africa, <br> Middle East | Asia, Asia <br> Pacific | Europe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 700 MHz | 0.03 | - | 0.10 | $0.05-0.06$ |
| 850 MHz | - | - | 0.10 | - |
| 900 MHz | - | - | 0.11 | $0.05-0.06$ |
| 1800 MHz | - | 0.04 | 0.07 | 0.02 |
| 1900 MHz | 0.007 | 0.003 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| AWS | 0.03 | - | - | - |

To start the Jamaican pricing table, we:

- Select the average spectrum price from the Americas as a base; and
- Apply a factor to adjust for the average wealth differential between Jamaica and the countries included in the regional sample.

Table 2.10: Estimated Jamaican Price per MHz per Population (US\$/MHz/Population)

| Band | Price per MHz per population - <br> Americas <br> (US\$) | Proposed Price per MHz <br> per population - Jamaica <br> (US\$) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 700 MHz | 0.03 | 0.024 |
| 850 MHz |  |  |
| 900 MHz |  | 0.006 |
| 1800 MHz | 0.007 |  |
| 1900 MHz |  |  |
| AWS |  |  |

To complete the Jamaican pricing table, we rely on the price relativities observed from the auction data overall and observed from publicly available auction statistics provided by the Organization for Economic and Cooperative Development.

Table 2.11: Relative Prices by Band

| Band | Relative Price |
| :--- | :--- |
| $700 \mathrm{MHz}-900 \mathrm{MHz}$ | $100 \%$ |
| $1800 \mathrm{MHz}-1900 \mathrm{MHz}$ | $45 \%$ |
| AWS | $100 \%$ |
| Above 2.1 GHz | $15 \%$ |

We confirm that these price relativities are largely compatible with those from the Bahamian and UK studies.

Table 2.12: Reconciliation of Relative Prices by Band

| Band | Results of Jamaica <br> Study | Results of Bahamian <br> Study | Results of UK <br> Study $^{2}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $700 \mathrm{MHz}-900 \mathrm{MHz}$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |
| $1-2.1 \mathrm{GHz}^{3}$ | $45 \%$ | $40 \%$ | Auction: $55 \%-100 \%$ <br> Renewal: $43 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |
| AWS | $100 \%$ |  |  |
| Above 2.1 GHz | $15 \%$ | $10 \%$ |  |

Source: URCA

[^1]As a final step, we smooth our results. Based on these findings, our recommendations are as summarized below.

Table 2.13: Recommendations

| Band | Price per MHz per population <br> (US\$) | Price per MHz <br> (US\$) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 700 MHz | 0.024 | 69,600 |
| 850 MHz | 0.013 | 38,280 |
| 900 MHz | 0.012 | 34,800 |
| 1800 MHz | 0.010 | 27,840 |
| 1900 MHz | 0.012 | 34,800 |
| AWS | 0.017 | 48,720 |

## Concluding Remarks

We provide preliminary remarks on the following bands allocated for 5 G in Region 2:

- $24.25-27.5 \mathrm{GHz}$
- $37-43.5 \mathrm{GHz}$
- 47.2 - 48.2 GHz ; and D 66-71 GHz.

This project will conclude before 5 G auctions anticipated in India and Brazil this year. These auctions will potentially yield pricing data that is applicable to a developing economy such as Jamaica. The full impact of the global pandemic on the timing, operation and results of these (and indeed, other) 5 G auctions is still unclear. We note that at the time of writing, at least one other jurisdiction ${ }^{4}$ has officially postponed its 5 G spectrum auction.

As a starting point, we present the United States' most recent 5 G auction results.
Table 2.14: 5G Auction Results (United States of America)

| Band | Price per MHz per Population |
| :--- | :--- |
| 24 GHz | 0.009112 |
| 28 GHz | 0.0113 |
| MN blocks: $37.6-38.6 \mathrm{GHz}$ and $38.6-40 \mathrm{GHz}$ | 0.009613 |
| P blocks: 47 GHz | 0.001099 |

Source: Federal Communications Commission

[^2]Applying a GDP per capita relativity factor as a rough proxy for wealth differential between Jamaica and the US yields a price estimate of US\$0.001 per MHz per population. However, these results are only approximate and will certainly be obsolete by the conclusion of the Brazilian 5G auction.

Table 2.15 shows the prices paid for C-Band spectrum in 5 G -relevant auctions in the past 5 years. The average C-band price is $\$ 0.12$ per MHz per population.

Table 2.15: 5G Auction Results (International)

| Prices paid for C-Band Spectrum, $\$ / \mathrm{MHz} /$ Pop | Countries |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Less than \$0.05 | Czech Rep. | Hungary | Romania |
|  | Finland | Latvia | Slovakia |
|  | Ireland | Norway | Spain |
| $\$ 0.05$ - \$0.10 | Austria <br> Hong Kong (10/2019) <br> Latvia (09/2019) |  |  |
| $\$ 0.10-\$ 0.20$ | Germany <br> Hong Kong (11/2019) <br> Rep. of Korea <br> United Kingdom |  |  |
| $\$ 0.20-\$ 0.30$ | Australia |  |  |
| Greater than \$0.30 | Italy <br> Taiwan |  |  |

## Section 3 - Fixed Service: Point to Point

## General Literature Review

During the data collection stage of our project, we reviewed analyses related to repricing efforts for fixed service. Again, we used elements of analysis conducted in the UK because of our intention to base our pricing model on international best practice, in line with the project's Terms of References.

Case Study: United Kingdom
We rely on published documentation including Ofcom's 2005 and 2015 review of fees for fixed links and permanent earth stations.

In the following figure, we summarize Ofcom's methodology:

Figure 3.1: Spectrum Pricing Methodology

the band

Ofcom's analysis is summarized below.
Table 3.1: Estimated values per link per $2 \times 1 \mathrm{MHz}$ and current fees

| Band | Implied Cost (GBP) | Current Fee (GBP) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1.4 GHz | 124 | 88 |
| $3.6-3.8 \mathrm{GHz}$ | 1095 | 88 |
| $3.8-4.2 \mathrm{GHz}$ | 124 | 88 |
| $6 \& 7.5 \mathrm{GHz}$ | 124 | 65 |
| 10 GHz | 42 | 38 |
| $13 \& 15 \mathrm{GHz}$ | 42 | 38 |
| 18 GHz | 29 | 26 |
| $23,25 \& 28 \mathrm{GHz}$ | $\sim 3$ | $23-26$ |
| 32 GHz | $\sim 3$ | 23 |
| 38 GHz | $\sim 3$ | 23 |
| $40 \mathrm{GHz} \&$ above | $\sim 3$ | 15 |

Source: Ofcom

Analysis
The subject of this portion of the study is a range of bands between 2.3 GHz and 23 GHz that can be used by fixed point-to-point links. The following table lists the bands under consideration and indicates which of them are shared with other applications.

Table 3.2: Fixed Service Bands

| Band | Current Use | Potential Alternative Use |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $2.5 / 2.6 \mathrm{GHz}$ | Fixed: PtMP | Fixed-Satellite, Mobile, Broadcasting-Satellite |
| 3.5 GHz | Fixed: PtMP | Mobile except Aeronautical Mobile, Fixed Satellite |
| 5 GHz | Fixed: |  |
| $5.150-5.350 \mathrm{GHz}$ | PtP and PtMP | Mobile, Radiolocation |
| $5.470-5.725 \mathrm{GHz}$ | PtP and PtMP |  |
| $5.725-5.850 \mathrm{GHz}$ | PtP and PtMP | Mobile, Radiolocation <br> Radiolocation |
| 6 GHz | Fixed: PtP | Fixed-Satellite, Mobile |
| 7 GHz | Fixed: PtP | Mobile, Space Research |
| 8 GHz | Fixed: PtP | Mobile, Meteorological-Satellite, Fixed-Satellite, <br> Earth Exploration-Satellite |
| 11 GHz | Fixed: PtP and PtMP | Mobile except Aeronautical Mobile, Fixed Satellite |
| 13 GHz | Fixed: PtP | Mobile, Fixed-Satellite, Space Research |
| 15 GHz | Fixed: PtP | Fixed-Satellite, Mobile except Aeronautical Mobile, <br> Mobile-Satellite, Space Research |
| 18 GHz | Fixed: PtP | Fixed-Satellite, Mobile, Broadcasting-Satellite, Space <br> Research, Earth Exploration-Satellite |


| 23 GHz | Fixed: PtP | Standard frequency and time signal-satellite, <br> MobileSatellite, Fixed-Satellite, Mobile, Radio <br> Astronomy, Inter-Satellite |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Source: Spectrum Management Authority

To assess whether there is likely to be excess demand in the bands, we explore alternative uses that are deployable in the next 5-7 years. In the Jamaican context, we note the following:

- 5 G is at an early stage of development and its impact is still uncertain.
- Our discussions with the Client indicate there are no items in their organizational strategic plan that are expected to impact excess demand within these bands.
- Our discussions with the Client indicate that there are no expected changes related to any international harmonization of these bands for use as mobile broadband in the next 5 years.

We found no published Jamaican data measuring excess demand by frequency band. However, we refer to international mobile network trends which suggest that excess demand is likely for lower frequency bands, but is less likely in bands above 20 GHz .

We observe that there are certain fixed service bands that have the potential for use as mobile service. There is auction data that could be used to give an indication of the value of the band for mobile use. We present in the following table their estimated value according to auction results from the PT database, along with spectrum values derived from similar exercises conducted in other jurisdictions.

Table 3.3: Price per MHz per Population by Region (US\$/MHz/Population)

|  | Americas | Canada | USA | Africa, <br> Middle East | Asia, Asia <br> Pacific | Europe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4.9 GHz | - | - | - | - | 0.0063 | - |
| 24 GHz | - | - | 0.0010 | - | - | - |
| 26 GHz | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0003 |

The auction data for these bands suggests that the opportunity cost of spectrum in the 23 GHz band is approximately J\$30,000 - J\$60,000.

We also consider the base fees (rounded to the nearest US\$100) for a sample of international jurisdictions.

| Country | Base Fee <br> (Equivalent US\$) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pakistan | US\$100 |
| South Africa | US\$100 |
| United Kingdom | US\$100 - US\$500 |

We recommend that the Client consider:

- Setting the base fee at a level compatible with the estimated value for alternative use (as summarized in Table 3.3) and estimates provided by regulators in other jurisdictions (as summarized in Table 3.4); and
- Transitioning to a base fee per MHz that is more consistent for small and large users. (Currently, the base fee is $\$ 100,000$ per MHz for a 1 MHz band, but is less than $\$ 20,000$ per MHz for bandwidths greater than 100 MHz .)

Table 3.5: Estimated value per $\mathrm{MHz}^{5}$

| Base Fee | $\square$ $\$ 20,000$ above 0 MHz <br> $\square$ $\$ 15,000$ above 50 MHz <br>  $\square$$\quad \$ 10,000$ above 100 MHz |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

We review the following algorithm used to calculate fixed wireless fees:
Annual Spectrum Licence Fee $=$ Base Fee $x$ Bandwidth factor $(B W) \times$ Frequency Band factor (BD) $x$ Zone factor (Z) x Network Type factor (P) x Exclusivity factor (E) x License Tenure factor (T)

Table 3.6: Current Formula

| Parameter | Value/Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| Base Fee | Varies between J\$100,000 and J\$2,000,000 |
| Bandwidth factor | Bandwidth/3.5, rounded up |

[^3]| Frequency Band factor | Values are <br> - 1.80 below 4.2 GHz <br> - 1.60 for $5.925-7.125 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.40 for $7.110-7.725 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.20 for $7.725-8.500 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.00 for $10.150-10.650 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.80 for $10.700-11.700 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.60 for $12.750-15.350 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.40 for $17.700-23.600 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.20 for above 24.250 GHz |
| :---: | :---: |
| Zone factor | Values are <br> - 0.10 for Zone 1 |
|  | - 0.06 for Zone 2 <br> - 0.04 for Zone 3 |
| Network Type factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Private networks <br> - 2.00 for Commercial networks |
| Exclusivity factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Exclusive use <br> - 0.50 for Shared use |
| License Tenure factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for 5 years <br> - 1.80 for 10 years <br> - 2.60 for 15 years |

Throughout, we refer to guidance from Ofcom which states: "The algorithm is not (and cannot be) based on a precise set of engineering and/or economic relationships but rather is intended to provide appropriate incentives for efficient spectrum use."

## Base Fee

We recommend the following base fee per MHz :

- \$20,000 above 0 MHz
- \$15,000 above 50 MHz
- \$10,000 above 100 MHz


## Bandwidth Factor

We recommend setting the bandwidth factor equal to the bandwidth amount.

## Frequency Band Factor

We investigate three options:

- Option 1: Set the frequency band factor based on the reciprocal function to reflect the longer transmission range of lower frequency bands;
- Option 2: Set the frequency band factor based on the reciprocal of squared frequency to reflect the relationship between the capture of signal by a receiver and frequency; and $\square$ Option 3: Keep the current frequency band factor.

The current factor lies between Options 1 and 2 for higher bands, but is significantly below both options for bands below 4 GHz . After discussions with the SMA about the appropriateness of this cap for frequencies below 4 GHz , we recommend making no change to the associated factors.

Chart 3.1: Frequency Band Factor


## Zone Factor

Ofcom's pricing algorithm would assign a higher pricing factor if a link started or ended in a congested zone (defined based on the number of links in a grid square). These congested zones were mostly urban locations. However, in Ofcom's latest pricing algorithm documentation, this factor was removed.

As there is still significant interest in promoting infrastructure development outside the urban centres in Kingston, St. Andrew, St. Catherine and St. James, we do not recommend the elimination of this factor from the Jamaican pricing algorithm. However, we recommend that the Client consider a simplification to two zones - urban and non-urban. Further, we recommend that the Client consider applying the higher pricing factor if the link either starts or ends in Zone 1. In this case, the lower factor would apply
only if the link both starts and ends in Zone 2. However, we raise no objection to maintaining the current factors as long as the calculation is administratively simple to apply.

Table 3.7: Zone Factors

| Zone | Current Factor | Recommended Factor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Zone 1 | 0.10 | 0.10 |
| Zone 2 | 0.06 | 0.06 |
| Zone 3 | 0.04 | 0.04 |

## Network Type Factor

We recommend recalibrating this factor to clarify that a $50 \%$ discount has been applied to Private networks. The base fee was adjusted so that the recommended change, after accounting for the change in base fee, is zero.

Table 3.8: Network Type Factors

| Network Type | Current Factor | Recommended Factor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Private | 1.00 | 0.50 |

## Exclusivity Factor

This factor remains in line with the $50 \%$ discount applied by Ofcom to an additional link operating cochannel and cross-polar over the same path as an existing assigned link. Table 3.9: Exclusivity Factors

| Exclusivity | Current Factor | Recommended Factor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Private | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared | 0.50 | 0.50 |

## License Tenure Factor

Table 3.10: Tenure Factors

| Tenure | Current Factor | Recommended Factor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 5 years | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 10 years | 1.80 | 1.70 |
| 15 years | 2.60 | 2.20 |

## Additional Factors

Before including additional factors, it is necessary to check that the factors can be captured in the assignment and licensing process.

## Modulation Factor

We concur with Ofcom's approach, which is: "Users are already encouraged to use the minimum amount of bandwidth through the existing bandwidth factor in the fees formula e.g. this incentive will ensure that higher more spectrally efficient modulation states will be used where possible. We therefore do not propose to modify the algorithm to include a modulation factor."

## Link length Factor

We concur with Ofcom's approach, which is: "We consider that this factor is not required as the frequency band factor in the fee algorithm already provides an incentive for operators to select higher bands for shorter links."

## Efficiency Factor

We recommend the addition of a factor that provides an incentive for the use of more efficient point to point technologies. The definition of the criteria that a licensee must meet to apply for the Category 2 is subject to the SMA. We opine that the introduction of this factor promotes responsiveness of the pricing methodology to technological developments within the industry. Table 3.11: Efficiency Factor

| Efficiency | Current Factor | Recommended Factor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Category 1 | Not applicable | 1.00 |
| Category 2 | Not applicable | 0.75 |

## Inflation Factor

We recommend that the fee is updated each year by the Consumer Price Index.

Table 3.12: Recommendations

| Parameter | Current Value/Range | Proposed Value/Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Fee | Varies between $\$ 100,000$ and $\$ 2,000,000$ | Values per MHz are <br> - \$20,000 above 0 MHz <br> - \$15,000 above 50 MHz <br> - \$10,000 above 100 MHz |
| Bandwidth factor | Bandwidth/3.5, rounded up | Bandwidth |
| Frequency Band factor | Values are <br> - 1.80 below 4.2 GHz <br> - 1.60 for $5.925-7.125 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.40 for $7.110-7.725 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.20 for $7.725-8.500 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.00 for $10.150-10.650 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.80 for $10.700-11.700 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.60 for $12.750-15.350 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.40 for $17.700-23.600 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.20 for above 24.250 GHz | Values are <br> - 1.80 below 4.2 GHz <br> - 1.60 for $5.925-7.125 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.40 for $7.110-7.725 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.20 for $7.725-8.500 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.00 for $10.150-10.650 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.80 for $10.700-11.700 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.60 for $12.750-15.350 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.40 for $17.700-23.600 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.20 for above 24.250 GHz |
| Zone factor | Values are <br> - 0.10 for Zone 1 <br> - 0.06 for Zone 2 <br> - 0.04 for Zone 3 | Values are <br> - 0.10 for Zone 1 <br> - 0.06 for Zone 2 <br> - 0.04 for Zone 3 |
| Network Type factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Private networks <br> - 2.00 for Commercial networks | Values are <br> - 0.50 for Private networks <br> - 1.00 for Commercial networks |
| Exclusivity factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Exclusive use <br> - 0.50 for Shared use | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Exclusive use <br> - 0.50 for Shared use |
| License Tenure factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for 5 years <br> - 1.80 for 10 years <br> - 2.60 for 15 years | Values are <br> - 1.00 for 5 years <br> - 1.70 for 10 years <br> - 2.20 for 15 years |
| Efficiency factor | N/A | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Category 1 <br> - 0.75 for Category 2 |
| Inflation factor | N/A | Values are as published by the Statistical Institute of Jamaica |

## Section 4 - Fixed Service: Point to Multipoint

Analysis
The subject of this portion of the study is a range of bands between 2.3 GHz and 10 GHz that can be used by fixed point-to-multipoint links.

We observe that there are certain fixed service bands that have the potential for use as mobile service. There is auction data that could be used to give an indication of the value of the band for mobile use. We present in the following table their estimated value according to auction results from the PT database, along with spectrum values derived from similar exercises conducted in other jurisdictions.

Table 4.1: Price per MHz per Population by Region (US\$/MHz/Population)

|  | Americas | Canada | USA | Africa, <br> Middle East | Asia, Asia <br> Pacific | Europe |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2.3 GHz | - | - | - | - | 0.0063 | - |
| 2.6 GHz | 0.0024 | - | 0.0010 | - | - | - |
| 3.5 GHz | - | - | - | - | - | 0.0003 |

The auction data for these bands suggests that the opportunity cost of spectrum in the 2.6 GHz band is approximately J\$400,000.

We recommend a base fee of J\$350,000 per MHz. ${ }^{6}$

We review the following algorithm used to calculate fixed wireless fees:
Annual Spectrum Licence Fee $=$ Base Fee $x$ Bandwidth factor $(B W) \times$ Frequency Band factor $(B D) \times$ Zone factor (Z) x Network Type factor (P) x Exclusivity factor (E) x License Tenure factor (T)

[^4]Table 4.2: Current Formula

| Parameter | Value/Range |
| :---: | :---: |
| Base Fee | For bands using 5 MHz channel plans |
|  | Bandwidth $\quad$ Base Fee (J\$ million) |
|  | $2 \times 5$ |
|  | $2 \times 10$ - 4 |
|  |  |
|  | $2 \times 20$ 8 |
|  | $2 \times 25$ |
|  | channel plans <br> For bands using 3.5 MHz |
|  | Bandwidth $\quad$ Base Fee (J\$ million) |
|  | 2×3.5 2 |
|  | $2 \times 7$ |
|  | 2×14 6 |
|  | $2 \times 28$ 8 |
|  | 2×56 |
| Bandwidth factor | Bandwidth/3.5, rounded up |
| Frequency Band factor | Values are <br> - 1.80 below 4.2 GHz <br> - 1.60 for $5.925-7.125 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.40 for $7.110-7.725 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.20 for $7.725-8.500 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 1.00 for $10.150-10.650 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - $\quad 0.80$ for $10.700-11.700 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - $\quad 0.60$ for $12.750-15.350 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - $\quad 0.40$ for $17.700-23.600 \mathrm{GHz}$ <br> - 0.20 for above 24.250 GHz |
| Zone factor | Values are <br> - 0.10 for rural only services <br> - 0.20 for island wide services <br> - 0.25 for urban services |
| Network Type factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Private networks <br> - 2.00 for Commercial networks |
| Exclusivity factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for Exclusive use <br> - 0.50 for Shared use |
| License Tenure factor | Values are <br> - 1.00 for 5 years <br> - 1.80 for 10 years <br> - 2.60 for 15 years |

## Base Fee

We recommend a base fee of $\mathrm{J} \$ 350,000$ per MHz .

## Bandwidth Factor

We recommend setting the bandwidth factor equal to the bandwidth amount.

## Frequency Band Factor

We investigate three options:

- Option 1: Set the frequency band factor based on the reciprocal function to reflect the longer transmission range of lower frequency bands;
- Option 2: Set the frequency band factor based on the reciprocal of squared frequency to reflect the relationship between the capture of signal by a receiver and frequency; and $\square$ Option 3: Keep the current frequency band factor.

The current factor lies between Options 1 and 2 for higher bands, but is significantly below both options for bands below 4 GHz . After discussions with the SMA about the appropriateness of this cap for frequencies below 4 GHz , we recommend making no change to the associated factors.

Chart 4.1: Frequency Band Factor


## Zone Factor

The SMA has clarified that these zone factors are different from those used in the point to point pricing as, in this case, the type of service (i.e. rural, urban or island-wide) rather than the path (i.e. the location of the start and end point of each link.)

Table 4.3: Zone Factors

| Zone | Current Factor | Recommended Factor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Zone 1 | 0.25 | 0.10 |
| Zone 2 | 0.20 | 0.06 |
| Zone 3 | 0.10 | 0.04 |

## Network Type Factor

The recommended change, after accounting for the change in base fee, is zero.
Table 4.4: Network Type Factors

| Network Type | Current Factor | Recommended Factor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial | 2.00 | 1.00 |
| Private | 1.00 | 0.50 |

## Exclusivity Factor

Table 4.5: Exclusivity Factors

| Exclusivity | Current Factor | Recommended Factor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Private | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Shared | 0.50 | 0.50 |

License Tenure Factor
Table 4.6: Tenure Factors

| Tenure | Current Factor | Recommended Factor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 5 years | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| 10 years | 1.80 | 1.70 |
| 15 years | 2.60 | 2.20 |

Before including additional factors, it is necessary to check that the factors can be captured in the assignment and licensing process.

## Modulation Factor

We concur with Ofcom's approach, which is: "Users are already encouraged to use the minimum amount of bandwidth through the existing bandwidth factor in the fees formula e.g. this incentive will ensure that higher more spectrally efficient modulation states will be used where possible. We therefore do not propose to modify the algorithm to include a modulation factor."

## Link length Factor

We concur with Ofcom's approach, which is: "We consider that this factor is not required as the frequency band factor in the fee algorithm already provides an incentive for operators to select higher bands for shorter links."

## Efficiency Factor

We recommend the addition of a factor that provides an incentive for the use of more efficient point to point technologies. The definition of the criteria that a licensee must meet to apply for the Category 2 is subject to the SMA. We opine that the introduction of this factor promotes responsiveness of the pricing methodology to technological developments within the industry. Table 4.7: Efficiency Factor

| Efficiency | Current Factor | Recommended Factor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Category 1 | Not applicable | 1.00 |
| Category 2 | Not applicable | 0.75 |

## Inflation Factor

We recommend that the fee is updated each year by the Consumer Price Index.

Table 4.8: Recommendations

| Parameter | Current Value/Range |  | Proposed Value/Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Base Fee | For bands using 5 MHz channel plans |  | \$350,000 per MHz |
|  | Bandwidth | Base Fee (J\$ million) |  |
|  | $2 \times 5$ | 2 |  |
|  | $2 \times 10$ | 4 |  |
|  | $2 \times 15$ | 6 |  |
|  | $2 \times 20$ | 8 |  |
|  | $2 \times 25$ | 10 |  |
|  | For bands using 3.5 MHz channel plans |  |  |
|  | Bandwidth | Base Fee (J\$ million) |  |
|  | $2 \times 3.5$ | 2 |  |



## Section 5 - Fixed Satellite Service

## Very Small Aperture Terminals

Table 5.1 summarizes the VSAT spectrum fees charged by the largest (by population) English-speaking countries in the Caribbean region.

Table 5.1: Caribbean VSAT Spectrum Fees

| Country | Annual VSAT Fee (Approximate US\$ Equivalent) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jamaica | US\$3,700 |
| Bahamas | US\$500 per system |
| Barbados | Private VSAT Network/Operator: \$1,500 per VSAT <br> Commercial VSAT Network/Operator: 1\% of AGTO plus \$150 per VSAT <br> Interactive VSAT Terminal: \$1,500 per VSAT <br> VSAT Terminal for Radio, Determination \& Related Services: \$75 per VSAT <br> VSAT (Internet Application): Greater of 1\% of AGTO or \$10,000 <br> VSAT Terminal for Space Research \& Related Services: \$750 per VSAT <br> VSAT for Amateur Satellite Services: \$75 per VSAT <br> VSAT (Downlink for Broadcast Reception only): \$500 per VSAT |
| Belize | US\$25,000 |
| Guyana | US\$1,720 |
| Trinidad \& Tobago | US\$22 per kHz |

Source: Regulator websites

Table 5.2 summarizes annual spectrum fees for fixed satellite service for a sample of countries outside the Caribbean region.

Table 5.2: International Fixed Satellite Spectrum Fees

| Country | Licence | Annual Fee <br> (C\$ per MHz per year) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Canada | Satellite | 120 |
| UK | Satellite | $\$ 74$ |
| US | GSO Satellite | $\$ 121$ |
|  | NGSO Satellite | $\$ 151$ |
| Mexico | Orbital Slot | $\$ 850$ |
| Brazil | Brazil Satellite | $\$ 74$ |
|  | Foreign Satellite | $\$ 37$ |

[^5]Based on the patterns we observe in this sample, we recommend:

- Reducing Jamaica's spectrum fee to a level that is closer to the low/middle end of the range; D

Expanding the fee structure to accommodate VSAT networks, in addition to single terminals; and $\square \quad$ Keeping the new fee structure as simple as possible.

Our proposal is a 2-tiered system as follows:
Table 5.3: VSAT Spectrum Fees

| Number of terminals | Annual Spectrum Fee (J\$) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Up to 30 | $\$ 200,000$ |
| More than 30 | $\$ 6,500$ per terminal |

We caution that the introduction of a two-tiered system may cause additional administrative cost (e.g. associated with compliance monitoring and enforcement).

## Earth Stations

In the previous sections of this report, we adopted elements of best practice from comparable pricing studies. As we did for the mobile and fixed service categories, we reviewed the pricing methodology used in the UK. In particular, we considered applying an adapted version of the pricing algorithm that applies to Permanent Earth Stations in the UK because of:

- The parallels between SMA's and Ofcom's pricing algorithms for other frequency bands;
- Our intention to base our pricing model on international best practice, in line with the project's Terms of References; and
- The general usefulness and applicability of Ofcom's research to other portions of this project.

Ofcom's pricing algorithm is:
Annual Spectrum Licence Fee $=$

$$
\sum_{\text {bands }\left[\beta \times B F_{\text {band }} \times\right.} \sum_{\text {paths band }}{ }_{\left(\text {Ppath } \times B W_{\text {path })}\right]}
$$

Where:
$\beta$ = reference fee
$P_{\text {path }}=$ peak power delivered into the antenna for each transmission path
BW path = transmit authorised bandwidth for each transmission path
$\mathrm{BF}_{\text {band }}=$ band factor that changes inversely with band from 0.60 to 2.33 as summarized below

Table 5.4: Band Factors

| Band | Band Factor |
| :--- | :--- |
| $<5 \mathrm{GHz}$ | 2.33 |
| $5-10 \mathrm{GHz}$ | 1.72 |
| $10-16 \mathrm{GHz}$ | 1.00 |
| $16-24 \mathrm{GHz}$ | 0.70 |
| $>24 \mathrm{Ghz}$ | 0.60 |

Source: Ofcom

In spite of the arguments above supporting increased alignment between pricing policies in Jamaica and the United Kingdom, we do not recommend its adoption at this time. We believe that moving to this algorithm from the current flat fee structure would increase administrative cost, reduce transparency and increase complexity, with limited added benefit.

Table 5.5 summarizes the earth station spectrum fees charged by the largest (by population) English speaking countries in the Caribbean region.

Table 5.5: Caribbean Earth Station Spectrum Fees

| Country | Annual Earth Station Fee (Approximate US\$ Equivalent) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Jamaica | US\$7,400 |
| Bahamas | US\$4,500 |
| Barbados | - |
| Belize | US\$50,000 |
| Guyana | US\$1,720 |
| Trinidad \& Tobago | US\$220 per kHz |

Source: Regulator websites

Based on the patterns we observe in this sample, we recommend:

- Reducing Jamaica's spectrum fee to a level that is closer to the low/middle end of the range; and
- Keeping the fee structure as simple as possible.

We recommend that the Client continue to apply a flat fee structure as follows:
Table 5.6: Earth Station Spectrum Fees

| Earth Station | Annual Spectrum Fee (J\$) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Emergency | 50,000 |
| Commercial | 500,000 |

## Appendix A: Detailed Methodology

| Detailed Methodology |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Stage 1 - Defining scope | Initalize scope in accordance with the Terms of Reference Refine scoping through consultations with the Client. <br> Formulate and communicate a project plan. <br> Collect information about the goals and objectives of key stakeholders |
| Stage 2 - Collecting data | Gather background information through research including a technical review of applicable legislation, national development strategies, programmes and policies. <br> Where available, gather existing data from the client, key stakeholders and public sources. <br> Redefine scope, if needed. |
| Stage 3 - Building fee structure | Based on outcome of literature review, spectrum demand/scarcity, data availability and other factors, select appropriate spectrum pricing methodology for each frequency band. <br> Apply pricing methodology, with underpinning mathematical and economic theory, to model spectrum values based on pricing parameter inputs. <br> Collaborate with and incorporate feedback from the client. |
| Stage 4 - Reporting results | Prepare reports in accordance with the TOR and any mutually agreed, subsequent amendments. <br> Interim Report <br> This report will give an overview of the information obtained to date. The literature review shall be finalised. The main gaps on available information shall be presented along with proposals how the study will create information to fill these gaps. <br> Draft Final Report <br> This report will give the results of the project as proposed by the Consultant. <br> Final Report <br> This report will incorporate the Client's comments on the Draft Final Report. |

## Appendix B: Work Plan



## Appendix C: Point to Point Comparison Table

| Site Identifier | Band | Bandwidth | Zone | Network | Exclusive | Current | Proposed | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site 1 | 13 | 7 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 7,200.00 | 3,528.00 | -51\% |
| Site 2 | 13 | 7 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 7,200.00 | 3,528.00 | -51\% |
| Site 3 | 6 | 30 | 3 | Private | Shared | 69,120.00 | 57,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 4 | 6 | 30 | 3 | Private | Shared | 69,120.00 | 57,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 5 | 6 | 30 | 2 | Private | Shared | 103,680.00 | 86,400.00 | -17\% |
| Site 6 | 6 | 30 | 3 | Private | Shared | 69,120.00 | 57,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 7 | 6 | 30 | 3 | Private | Shared | 69,120.00 | 57,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 8 | 6 | 30 | 2 | Private | Shared | 103,680.00 | 86,400.00 | -17\% |
| Site 9 | 11 | 30 | 3 | Private | Shared | 34,560.00 | 28,800.00 | -17\% |
| Site 10 | 11 | 30 | 3 | Private | Shared | 34,560.00 | 28,800.00 | -17\% |
| Site 11 | 6 | 120 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 2,240,000.00 | 2,304,000.00 | 3\% |
| Site 12 | 6 | 120 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 1,344,000.00 | 1,382,400.00 | 3\% |
| Site 13 | 6 | 60 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 460,800.00 | 345,600.00 | -25\% |
| Site 14 | 6 | 120 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 896,000.00 | 921,600.00 | 3\% |
| Site 15 | 6 | 60 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 460,800.00 | 345,600.00 | -25\% |
| Site 16 | 6 | 60 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 864,000.00 | -25\% |
| Site 17 | 6 | 30 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 138,240.00 | 115,200.00 | -17\% |
| Site 18 | 6 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 691,200.00 | 518,400.00 | -25\% |
| Site 19 | 6 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 691,200.00 | 518,400.00 | -25\% |
| Site 20 | 6 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 691,200.00 | 518,400.00 | -25\% |
| Site 21 | 6 | 60 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 864,000.00 | -25\% |
| Site 22 | 6 | 120 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 896,000.00 | 921,600.00 | 3\% |
| Site 23 | 6 | 60 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 864,000.00 | -25\% |
| Site 24 | 6 | 120 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 2,240,000.00 | 2,304,000.00 | 3\% |
| Site 25 | 6 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 691,200.00 | 518,400.00 | -25\% |
| Site 26 | 6 | 60 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 864,000.00 | -25\% |
| Site 27 | 6 | 60 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 864,000.00 | -25\% |
| Site 28 | 6 | 120 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 1,344,000.00 | 1,382,400.00 | 3\% |
| Site 29 | 6 | 120 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 2,240,000.00 | 2,304,000.00 | 3\% |
| Site 30 | 6 | 120 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 1,344,000.00 | 1,382,400.00 | 3\% |
| Site 31 | 6 | 60 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 460,800.00 | 345,600.00 | -25\% |
| Site 32 | 6 | 120 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 896,000.00 | 921,600.00 | 3\% |
| Site 33 | 6 | 60 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 460,800.00 | 345,600.00 | -25\% |
| Site 34 | 6 | 60 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 864,000.00 | -25\% |
| Site 35 | 6 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 691,200.00 | 518,400.00 | -25\% |
| Site 36 | 6 | 60 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 864,000.00 | -25\% |


| Site 37 | 6 | 60 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 864,000.00 | -25\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site 38 | 6 | 120 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 896,000.00 | 921,600.00 | 3\% |
| Site 39 | 6 | 60 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 864,000.00 | -25\% |
| Site 40 | 6 | 120 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 2,240,000.00 | 2,304,000.00 | 3\% |
| Site 41 | 6 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 691,200.00 | 518,400.00 | -25\% |
| Site 42 | 6 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 691,200.00 | 518,400.00 | -25\% |
| Site 43 | 6 | 60 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 864,000.00 | -25\% |
| Site 44 | 6 | 120 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 1,344,000.00 | 1,382,400.00 | 3\% |
| Site 45 | 8 | 30 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 259,200.00 | 216,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 46 | 8 | 119 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 979,200.00 | 1,019,592.00 | 4\% |
| Site 47 | 8 | 119 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 979,200.00 | 1,019,592.00 | 4\% |
| Site 48 | 8 | 30 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 103,680.00 | 86,400.00 | -17\% |
| Site 49 | 8 | 30 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 103,680.00 | 86,400.00 | -17\% |
| Site 50 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 51 | 8 | 30 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 259,200.00 | 216,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 52 | 8 | 59 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 816,000.00 | 626,580.00 | -23\% |
| Site 53 | 8 | 30 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 103,680.00 | 86,400.00 | -17\% |
| Site 54 | 8 | 119 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 979,200.00 | 1,019,592.00 | 4\% |
| Site 55 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 56 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 57 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 58 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 59 | 8 | 30 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 259,200.00 | 216,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 60 | 8 | 148 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 1,238,400.00 | 1,577,088.00 | 27\% |
| Site 61 | 8 | 59 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 816,000.00 | 626,580.00 | -23\% |
| Site 62 | 8 | 59 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 326,400.00 | 250,632.00 | -23\% |
| Site 63 | 8 | 30 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 103,680.00 | 86,400.00 | -17\% |
| Site 64 | 8 | 59 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 326,400.00 | 250,632.00 | -23\% |
| Site 65 | 8 | 119 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 652,800.00 | 679,728.00 | 4\% |
| Site 66 | 8 | 59 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 816,000.00 | 626,580.00 | -23\% |
| Site 67 | 8 | 59 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 326,400.00 | 250,632.00 | -23\% |
| Site 68 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 69 | 8 | 59 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 489,600.00 | 375,948.00 | -23\% |
| Site 70 | 8 | 59 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 816,000.00 | 626,580.00 | -23\% |
| Site 71 | 8 | 59 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 326,400.00 | 250,632.00 | -23\% |
| Site 72 | 8 | 59 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 326,400.00 | 250,632.00 | -23\% |
| Site 73 | 8 | 119 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,632,000.00 | 1,699,320.00 | 4\% |
| Site 74 | 8 | 119 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 979,200.00 | 1,019,592.00 | 4\% |
| Site 75 | 8 | 59 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 326,400.00 | 250,632.00 | -23\% |
| Site 76 | 8 | 59 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 326,400.00 | 250,632.00 | -23\% |
| Site 77 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |


| Site 78 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site 79 | 8 | 148 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 2,064,000.00 | 2,628,480.00 | 27\% |
| Site 80 | 8 | 119 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 979,200.00 | 1,019,592.00 | 4\% |
| Site 81 | 8 | 30 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 259,200.00 | 216,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 82 | 8 | 119 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 979,200.00 | 1,019,592.00 | 4\% |
| Site 83 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 84 | 8 | 30 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 259,200.00 | 216,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 85 | 8 | 30 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 103,680.00 | 86,400.00 | -17\% |
| Site 86 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 87 | 8 | 30 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 103,680.00 | 86,400.00 | -17\% |
| Site 88 | 8 | 59 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 489,600.00 | 375,948.00 | -23\% |
| Site 89 | 8 | 30 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 259,200.00 | 216,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 90 | 8 | 30 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 259,200.00 | 216,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 91 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 92 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 93 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 94 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 95 | 8 | 59 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 816,000.00 | 626,580.00 | -23\% |
| Site 96 | 8 | 59 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 816,000.00 | 626,580.00 | -23\% |
| Site 97 | 8 | 30 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 103,680.00 | 86,400.00 | -17\% |
| Site 98 | 8 | 59 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 326,400.00 | 250,632.00 | -23\% |
| Site 99 | 8 | 119 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 652,800.00 | 679,728.00 | 4\% |
| Site 100 | 8 | 59 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 489,600.00 | 375,948.00 | -23\% |
| Site 101 | 8 | 59 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 326,400.00 | 250,632.00 | -23\% |
| Site 102 | 8 | 30 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 155,520.00 | 129,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 103 | 8 | 59 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 489,600.00 | 375,948.00 | -23\% |
| Site 104 | 8 | 59 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 816,000.00 | 626,580.00 | -23\% |
| Site 105 | 8 | 59 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 326,400.00 | 250,632.00 | -23\% |
| Site 106 | 8 | 59 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 326,400.00 | 250,632.00 | -23\% |
| Site 107 | 8 | 119 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 979,200.00 | 1,019,592.00 | 4\% |
| Site 108 | 8 | 119 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 979,200.00 | 1,019,592.00 | 4\% |
| Site 109 | 10 | 28 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 192,000.00 | 156,800.00 | -18\% |
| Site 110 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 111 | 10 | 56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 640,000.00 | 470,400.00 | -27\% |
| Site 112 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 113 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 114 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 115 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 116 | 10 | 56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 640,000.00 | 470,400.00 | -27\% |
| Site 117 | 10 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |
| Site 118 | 10 | 112 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 768,000.00 | 752,640.00 | -2\% |


| Site 119 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site 120 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 121 | 10 | 56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 640,000.00 | 470,400.00 | -27\% |
| Site 122 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 123 | 10 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |
| Site 124 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 125 | 10 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |
| Site 126 | 10 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |
| Site 127 | 10 | 112 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,280,000.00 | 1,254,400.00 | -2\% |
| Site 128 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 129 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 130 | 10 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |
| Site 131 | 10 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |
| Site 132 | 10 | 28 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 192,000.00 | 156,800.00 | -18\% |
| Site 133 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 134 | 10 | 56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 640,000.00 | 470,400.00 | -27\% |
| Site 135 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 136 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 137 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 138 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 139 | 10 | 56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 640,000.00 | 470,400.00 | -27\% |
| Site 140 | 10 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |
| Site 141 | 10 | 112 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 768,000.00 | 752,640.00 | -2\% |
| Site 142 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 143 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 144 | 10 | 56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 640,000.00 | 470,400.00 | -27\% |
| Site 145 | 10 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |
| Site 146 | 10 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |
| Site 147 | 10 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |
| Site 148 | 10 | 112 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,280,000.00 | 1,254,400.00 | -2\% |
| Site 149 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 150 | 10 | 56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 256,000.00 | 188,160.00 | -27\% |
| Site 151 | 10 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |
| Site 152 | 11 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 345,600.00 | 259,200.00 | -25\% |
| Site 153 | 11 | 60 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 230,400.00 | 172,800.00 | -25\% |
| Site 154 | 11 | 120 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 448,000.00 | 460,800.00 | 3\% |
| Site 155 | 11 | 120 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 448,000.00 | 460,800.00 | 3\% |
| Site 156 | 11 | 40 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 307,200.00 | 256,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 157 | 11 | 40 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 184,320.00 | 153,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 158 | 11 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 345,600.00 | 259,200.00 | -25\% |
| Site 159 | 11 | 40 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 122,880.00 | 102,400.00 | -17\% |


| Site 160 | 11 | 60 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 230,400.00 | 172,800.00 | -25\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site 161 | 11 | 120 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 672,000.00 | 691,200.00 | 3\% |
| Site 162 | 11 | 120 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 672,000.00 | 691,200.00 | 3\% |
| Site 163 | 11 | 40 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 307,200.00 | 256,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 164 | 11 | 120 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 448,000.00 | 460,800.00 | 3\% |
| Site 165 | 11 | 60 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 230,400.00 | 172,800.00 | -25\% |
| Site 166 | 11 | 60 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 230,400.00 | 172,800.00 | -25\% |
| Site 167 | 11 | 40 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 307,200.00 | 256,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 168 | 11 | 40 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 122,880.00 | 102,400.00 | -17\% |
| Site 169 | 11 | 120 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 448,000.00 | 460,800.00 | 3\% |
| Site 170 | 11 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 345,600.00 | 259,200.00 | -25\% |
| Site 171 | 11 | 60 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 230,400.00 | 172,800.00 | -25\% |
| Site 172 | 11 | 30 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 172,800.00 | 144,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 173 | 11 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 345,600.00 | 259,200.00 | -25\% |
| Site 174 | 11 | 60 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 230,400.00 | 172,800.00 | -25\% |
| Site 175 | 11 | 120 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,120,000.00 | 1,152,000.00 | 3\% |
| Site 176 | 11 | 40 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 307,200.00 | 256,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 177 | 11 | 40 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 184,320.00 | 153,600.00 | -17\% |
| Site 178 | 11 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 345,600.00 | 259,200.00 | -25\% |
| Site 179 | 11 | 60 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 230,400.00 | 172,800.00 | -25\% |
| Site 180 | 11 | 120 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 672,000.00 | 691,200.00 | 3\% |
| Site 181 | 11 | 40 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 307,200.00 | 256,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 182 | 11 | 60 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 576,000.00 | 432,000.00 | -25\% |
| Site 183 | 11 | 60 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 230,400.00 | 172,800.00 | -25\% |
| Site 184 | 11 | 40 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 307,200.00 | 256,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 185 | 11 | 40 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 122,880.00 | 102,400.00 | -17\% |
| Site 186 | 11 | 120 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,120,000.00 | 1,152,000.00 | 3\% |
| Site 187 | 11 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 345,600.00 | 259,200.00 | -25\% |
| Site 188 | 11 | 30 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 172,800.00 | 144,000.00 | -17\% |
| Site 189 | 13 | 56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |
| Site 190 | 13 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 230,400.00 | 169,344.00 | -27\% |
| Site 191 | 8 | 59.5 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 489,600.00 | 382,347.00 | -22\% |
| Site 192 | 8 | 59.5 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 489,600.00 | 382,347.00 | -22\% |
| Site 193 | 8 | 59.5 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 489,600.00 | 382,347.00 | -22\% |
| Site 194 | 11 | 80 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 736,000.00 | 768,000.00 | 4\% |
| Site 195 | 11 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 345,600.00 | 259,200.00 | -25\% |
| Site 196 | 11 | 80 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 441,600.00 | 460,800.00 | 4\% |
| Site 197 | 11 | 80 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 736,000.00 | 768,000.00 | 4\% |
| Site 198 | 11 | 60 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 345,600.00 | 259,200.00 | -25\% |
| Site 199 | 11 | 80 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 441,600.00 | 460,800.00 | 4\% |
| Site 200 | 13 | 56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 384,000.00 | 282,240.00 | -27\% |


| Site 201 | 13 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | $230,400.00$ | $169,344.00$ | $-27 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site 202 | 13 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | $230,400.00$ | $169,344.00$ | $-27 \%$ |
| Site 203 | 13 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | $230,400.00$ | $169,344.00$ | $-27 \%$ |
| Site 204 | 13 | 56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | $384,000.00$ | $282,240.00$ | $-27 \%$ |
| Site 205 | 13 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | $230,400.00$ | $169,344.00$ | $-27 \%$ |
| Site 206 | 13 | 56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | $230,400.00$ | $169,344.00$ | $-27 \%$ |
| Site 207 | 18 | 27.5 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | $115,200.00$ | $90,750.00$ | $-21 \%$ |
| Site 208 | 18 | 27.5 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | $69,120.00$ | $54,450.00$ | $-21 \%$ |
| Site 209 | 18 | 27.5 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | $69,120.00$ | $54,450.00$ | $-21 \%$ |
| Site 210 | 18 | 55 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | $207,360.00$ | $163,350.00$ | $-21 \%$ |
| Site 211 | 18 | 55 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | $345,600.00$ | $272,250.00$ | $-21 \%$ |
| Site 212 | 18 | 55 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | $345,600.00$ | $272,250.00$ | $-21 \%$ |
| Site 213 | 18 | 27.5 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | $69,120.00$ | $54,450.00$ | $-21 \%$ |
| Site 214 | 18 | 27.5 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | $69,120.00$ | $54,450.00$ | $-21 \%$ |
| Site 215 | 18 | 55 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | $207,360.00$ | $163,350.00$ | $-21 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  | $106,712,640.00$ | $94,007,235.00$ | $-12 \%$ |  |

## Appendix D: Point to Multipoint Comparison Table

| Example | Band | Bandwidth | Zone | Network | Exclusive | Current | Proposed | Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Example 1 | 2.5 | 2x5 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 540,000.00 | 630,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 2 | 2.5 | 2x10 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 2,160,000.00 | 2,520,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 3 | 2.5 | 2x15 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 4,860,000.00 | 5,670,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 4 | 2.5 | 2x20 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 8,640,000.00 | 10,080,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 5 | 2.5 | $2 \times 25$ | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 13,500,000.00 | 15,750,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 6 | 2.5 | 2x3.5 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 360,000.00 | 308,700.00 | -14\% |
| Example 7 | 2.5 | $2 \times 7$ | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,440,000.00 | 1,234,800.00 | -14\% |
| Example 8 | 2.5 | 2x14 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 4,320,000.00 | 4,939,200.00 | 14\% |
| Example 9 | 2.5 | 2×28 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 11,520,000.00 | 19,756,800.00 | 72\% |
| Example 10 | 2.5 | 2x56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 28,800,000.00 | 79,027,200.00 | 174\% |
| Example 11 | 2.5 | $2 \times 5$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 432,000.00 | 378,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 12 | 2.5 | 2x10 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 1,728,000.00 | 1,512,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 13 | 2.5 | 2x15 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 3,888,000.00 | 3,402,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 14 | 2.5 | 2×20 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 6,912,000.00 | 6,048,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 15 | 2.5 | 2x25 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 10,800,000.00 | 9,450,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 16 | 2.5 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 288,000.00 | 185,220.00 | -36\% |
| Example 17 | 2.5 | 2x7 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 740,880.00 | -36\% |
| Example 18 | 2.5 | 2x14 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 3,456,000.00 | 2,963,520.00 | -14\% |
| Example 19 | 2.5 | $2 \times 28$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 9,216,000.00 | 11,854,080.00 | 29\% |
| Example 20 | 2.5 | 2x56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 23,040,000.00 | 47,416,320.00 | 106\% |
| Example 21 | 2.5 | 2x5 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 216,000.00 | 252,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 22 | 2.5 | $2 \times 10$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 864,000.00 | 1,008,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 23 | 2.5 | 2x15 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 1,944,000.00 | 2,268,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 24 | 2.5 | $2 \times 20$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 3,456,000.00 | 4,032,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 25 | 2.5 | $2 \times 25$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 5,400,000.00 | 6,300,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 26 | 2.5 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 144,000.00 | 123,480.00 | -14\% |
| Example 27 | 2.5 | 2x7 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 576,000.00 | 493,920.00 | -14\% |
| Example 28 | 2.5 | 2x14 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 1,728,000.00 | 1,975,680.00 | 14\% |
| Example 29 | 2.5 | 2x28 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 4,608,000.00 | 7,902,720.00 | 72\% |
| Example 30 | 2.5 | 2x56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 11,520,000.00 | 31,610,880.00 | 174\% |
| Example 31 | 2.5 | 2x5 | 1 | Private | Shared | 270,000.00 | 315,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 32 | 2.5 | $2 \times 10$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 1,080,000.00 | 1,260,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 33 | 2.5 | 2x15 | 1 | Private | Shared | 2,430,000.00 | 2,835,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 34 | 2.5 | 2x20 | 1 | Private | Shared | 4,320,000.00 | 5,040,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 35 | 2.5 | $2 \times 25$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 6,750,000.00 | 7,875,000.00 | 17\% |


| Example 36 | 2.5 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 180,000.00 | 154,350.00 | -14\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Example 37 | 2.5 | $2 \times 7$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 720,000.00 | 617,400.00 | -14\% |
| Example 38 | 2.5 | 2x14 | 1 | Private | Shared | 2,160,000.00 | 2,469,600.00 | 14\% |
| Example 39 | 2.5 | 2x28 | 1 | Private | Shared | 5,760,000.00 | 9,878,400.00 | 72\% |
| Example 40 | 2.5 | 2x56 | 1 | Private | Shared | 14,400,000.00 | 39,513,600.00 | 174\% |
| Example 41 | 2.5 | $2 \times 5$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 216,000.00 | 189,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 42 | 2.5 | $2 \times 10$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 864,000.00 | 756,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 43 | 2.5 | 2x15 | 2 | Private | Shared | 1,944,000.00 | 1,701,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 44 | 2.5 | 2x20 | 2 | Private | Shared | 3,456,000.00 | 3,024,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 45 | 2.5 | 2x25 | 2 | Private | Shared | 5,400,000.00 | 4,725,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 46 | 2.5 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 144,000.00 | 92,610.00 | -36\% |
| Example 47 | 2.5 | 2x7 | 2 | Private | Shared | 576,000.00 | 370,440.00 | -36\% |
| Example 48 | 2.5 | 2x14 | 2 | Private | Shared | 1,728,000.00 | 1,481,760.00 | -14\% |
| Example 49 | 2.5 | $2 \times 28$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 4,608,000.00 | 5,927,040.00 | 29\% |
| Example 50 | 2.5 | 2x56 | 2 | Private | Shared | 11,520,000.00 | 23,708,160.00 | 106\% |
| Example 51 | 2.5 | 2x5 | 3 | Private | Shared | 108,000.00 | 126,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 52 | 2.5 | 2x10 | 3 | Private | Shared | 432,000.00 | 504,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 53 | 2.5 | 2x15 | 3 | Private | Shared | 972,000.00 | 1,134,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 54 | 2.5 | 2x20 | 3 | Private | Shared | 1,728,000.00 | 2,016,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 55 | 2.5 | $2 \times 25$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 2,700,000.00 | 3,150,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 56 | 2.5 | 2x3.5 | 3 | Private | Shared | 72,000.00 | 61,740.00 | -14\% |
| Example 57 | 2.5 | 2x7 | 3 | Private | Shared | 288,000.00 | 246,960.00 | -14\% |
| Example 58 | 2.5 | 2x14 | 3 | Private | Shared | 864,000.00 | 987,840.00 | 14\% |
| Example 59 | 2.5 | 2x28 | 3 | Private | Shared | 2,304,000.00 | 3,951,360.00 | 72\% |
| Example 60 | 2.5 | 2x56 | 3 | Private | Shared | 5,760,000.00 | 15,805,440.00 | 174\% |
| Example 61 | 3.5 | $2 \times 5$ | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 540,000.00 | 630,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 62 | 3.5 | 2x10 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 2,160,000.00 | 2,520,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 63 | 3.5 | 2x15 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 4,860,000.00 | 5,670,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 64 | 3.5 | 2x20 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 8,640,000.00 | 10,080,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 65 | 3.5 | 2x25 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 13,500,000.00 | 15,750,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 66 | 3.5 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 360,000.00 | 308,700.00 | -14\% |
| Example 67 | 3.5 | $2 \times 7$ | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,440,000.00 | 1,234,800.00 | -14\% |
| Example 68 | 3.5 | $2 \times 14$ | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 4,320,000.00 | 4,939,200.00 | 14\% |
| Example 69 | 3.5 | 2x28 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 11,520,000.00 | 19,756,800.00 | 72\% |
| Example 70 | 3.5 | 2x56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 28,800,000.00 | 79,027,200.00 | 174\% |
| Example 71 | 3.5 | $2 \times 5$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 432,000.00 | 378,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 72 | 3.5 | $2 \times 10$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 1,728,000.00 | 1,512,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 73 | 3.5 | $2 \times 15$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 3,888,000.00 | 3,402,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 74 | 3.5 | $2 \times 20$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 6,912,000.00 | 6,048,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 75 | 3.5 | 2x25 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 10,800,000.00 | 9,450,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 76 | 3.5 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 288,000.00 | 185,220.00 | -36\% |
| Example 77 | 3.5 | 2x7 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 740,880.00 | -36\% |
| Example 78 | 3.5 | 2x14 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 3,456,000.00 | 2,963,520.00 | -14\% |


| Example 79 | 3.5 | 2x28 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 9,216,000.00 | 11,854,080.00 | 29\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Example 80 | 3.5 | 2x56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 23,040,000.00 | 47,416,320.00 | 106\% |
| Example 81 | 3.5 | 2x5 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 216,000.00 | 252,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 82 | 3.5 | 2x10 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 864,000.00 | 1,008,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 83 | 3.5 | 2x15 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 1,944,000.00 | 2,268,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 84 | 3.5 | $2 \times 20$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 3,456,000.00 | 4,032,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 85 | 3.5 | $2 \times 25$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 5,400,000.00 | 6,300,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 86 | 3.5 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 144,000.00 | 123,480.00 | -14\% |
| Example 87 | 3.5 | 2x7 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 576,000.00 | 493,920.00 | -14\% |
| Example 88 | 3.5 | 2x14 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 1,728,000.00 | 1,975,680.00 | 14\% |
| Example 89 | 3.5 | $2 \times 28$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 4,608,000.00 | 7,902,720.00 | 72\% |
| Example 90 | 3.5 | 2x56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 11,520,000.00 | 31,610,880.00 | 174\% |
| Example 91 | 3.5 | $2 \times 5$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 270,000.00 | 315,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 92 | 3.5 | $2 \times 10$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 1,080,000.00 | 1,260,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 93 | 3.5 | 2x15 | 1 | Private | Shared | 2,430,000.00 | 2,835,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 94 | 3.5 | 2x20 | 1 | Private | Shared | 4,320,000.00 | 5,040,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 95 | 3.5 | $2 \times 25$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 6,750,000.00 | 7,875,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 96 | 3.5 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 180,000.00 | 154,350.00 | -14\% |
| Example 97 | 3.5 | $2 \times 7$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 720,000.00 | 617,400.00 | -14\% |
| Example 98 | 3.5 | 2x14 | 1 | Private | Shared | 2,160,000.00 | 2,469,600.00 | 14\% |
| Example 99 | 3.5 | $2 \times 28$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 5,760,000.00 | 9,878,400.00 | 72\% |
| Example 100 | 3.5 | 2x56 | 1 | Private | Shared | 14,400,000.00 | 39,513,600.00 | 174\% |
| Example 101 | 3.5 | 2x5 | 2 | Private | Shared | 216,000.00 | 189,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 102 | 3.5 | 2x10 | 2 | Private | Shared | 864,000.00 | 756,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 103 | 3.5 | $2 \times 15$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 1,944,000.00 | 1,701,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 104 | 3.5 | $2 \times 20$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 3,456,000.00 | 3,024,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 105 | 3.5 | 2x25 | 2 | Private | Shared | 5,400,000.00 | 4,725,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 106 | 3.5 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 144,000.00 | 92,610.00 | -36\% |
| Example 107 | 3.5 | $2 \times 7$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 576,000.00 | 370,440.00 | -36\% |
| Example 108 | 3.5 | $2 \times 14$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 1,728,000.00 | 1,481,760.00 | -14\% |
| Example 109 | 3.5 | $2 \times 28$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 4,608,000.00 | 5,927,040.00 | 29\% |
| Example 110 | 3.5 | 2x56 | 2 | Private | Shared | 11,520,000.00 | 23,708,160.00 | 106\% |
| Example 111 | 3.5 | $2 \times 5$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 108,000.00 | 126,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 112 | 3.5 | 2x10 | 3 | Private | Shared | 432,000.00 | 504,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 113 | 3.5 | $2 \times 15$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 972,000.00 | 1,134,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 114 | 3.5 | 2x20 | 3 | Private | Shared | 1,728,000.00 | 2,016,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 115 | 3.5 | 2x25 | 3 | Private | Shared | 2,700,000.00 | 3,150,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 116 | 3.5 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 72,000.00 | 61,740.00 | -14\% |
| Example 117 | 3.5 | $2 \times 7$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 288,000.00 | 246,960.00 | -14\% |
| Example 118 | 3.5 | 2x14 | 3 | Private | Shared | 864,000.00 | 987,840.00 | 14\% |
| Example 119 | 3.5 | 2x28 | 3 | Private | Shared | 2,304,000.00 | 3,951,360.00 | 72\% |
| Example 120 | 3.5 | 2x56 | 3 | Private | Shared | 5,760,000.00 | 15,805,440.00 | 174\% |
| Example 121 | 5 | $2 \times 5$ | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 540,000.00 | 630,000.00 | 17\% |


| Example 122 | 5 | 2x10 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 2,160,000.00 | 2,520,000.00 | 17\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Example 123 | 5 | 2x15 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 4,860,000.00 | 5,670,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 124 | 5 | 2x20 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 8,640,000.00 | 10,080,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 125 | 5 | $2 \times 25$ | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 13,500,000.00 | 15,750,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 126 | 5 | 2x3.5 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 360,000.00 | 308,700.00 | -14\% |
| Example 127 | 5 | 2x7 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,440,000.00 | 1,234,800.00 | -14\% |
| Example 128 | 5 | 2x14 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 4,320,000.00 | 4,939,200.00 | 14\% |
| Example 129 | 5 | 2x28 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 11,520,000.00 | 19,756,800.00 | 72\% |
| Example 130 | 5 | 2x56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 28,800,000.00 | 79,027,200.00 | 174\% |
| Example 131 | 5 | $2 \times 5$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 432,000.00 | 378,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 132 | 5 | 2x10 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 1,728,000.00 | 1,512,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 133 | 5 | 2x15 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 3,888,000.00 | 3,402,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 134 | 5 | 2x20 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 6,912,000.00 | 6,048,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 135 | 5 | 2x25 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 10,800,000.00 | 9,450,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 136 | 5 | 2x3.5 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 288,000.00 | 185,220.00 | -36\% |
| Example 137 | 5 | $2 \times 7$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 1,152,000.00 | 740,880.00 | -36\% |
| Example 138 | 5 | 2x14 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 3,456,000.00 | 2,963,520.00 | -14\% |
| Example 139 | 5 | 2x28 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 9,216,000.00 | 11,854,080.00 | 29\% |
| Example 140 | 5 | 2x56 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 23,040,000.00 | 47,416,320.00 | 106\% |
| Example 141 | 5 | $2 \times 5$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 216,000.00 | 252,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 142 | 5 | 2x10 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 864,000.00 | 1,008,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 143 | 5 | 2x15 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 1,944,000.00 | 2,268,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 144 | 5 | 2x20 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 3,456,000.00 | 4,032,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 145 | 5 | 2x25 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 5,400,000.00 | 6,300,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 146 | 5 | 2x3.5 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 144,000.00 | 123,480.00 | -14\% |
| Example 147 | 5 | 2x7 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 576,000.00 | 493,920.00 | -14\% |
| Example 148 | 5 | 2x14 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 1,728,000.00 | 1,975,680.00 | 14\% |
| Example 149 | 5 | 2x28 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 4,608,000.00 | 7,902,720.00 | 72\% |
| Example 150 | 5 | 2x56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 11,520,000.00 | 31,610,880.00 | 174\% |
| Example 151 | 5 | $2 \times 5$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 270,000.00 | 315,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 152 | 5 | 2x10 | 1 | Private | Shared | 1,080,000.00 | 1,260,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 153 | 5 | 2x15 | 1 | Private | Shared | 2,430,000.00 | 2,835,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 154 | 5 | 2x20 | 1 | Private | Shared | 4,320,000.00 | 5,040,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 155 | 5 | $2 \times 25$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 6,750,000.00 | 7,875,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 156 | 5 | 2x3.5 | 1 | Private | Shared | 180,000.00 | 154,350.00 | -14\% |
| Example 157 | 5 | 2x7 | 1 | Private | Shared | 720,000.00 | 617,400.00 | -14\% |
| Example 158 | 5 | 2x14 | 1 | Private | Shared | 2,160,000.00 | 2,469,600.00 | 14\% |
| Example 159 | 5 | 2x28 | 1 | Private | Shared | 5,760,000.00 | 9,878,400.00 | 72\% |
| Example 160 | 5 | 2x56 | 1 | Private | Shared | 14,400,000.00 | 39,513,600.00 | 174\% |
| Example 161 | 5 | 2x5 | 2 | Private | Shared | 216,000.00 | 189,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 162 | 5 | 2x10 | 2 | Private | Shared | 864,000.00 | 756,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 163 | 5 | 2x15 | 2 | Private | Shared | 1,944,000.00 | 1,701,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 164 | 5 | 2x20 | 2 | Private | Shared | 3,456,000.00 | 3,024,000.00 | -13\% |


| Example 165 | 5 | $2 \times 25$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 5,400,000.00 | 4,725,000.00 | -13\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Example 166 | 5 | 2x3.5 | 2 | Private | Shared | 144,000.00 | 92,610.00 | -36\% |
| Example 167 | 5 | 2x7 | 2 | Private | Shared | 576,000.00 | 370,440.00 | -36\% |
| Example 168 | 5 | $2 \times 14$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 1,728,000.00 | 1,481,760.00 | -14\% |
| Example 169 | 5 | 2x28 | 2 | Private | Shared | 4,608,000.00 | 5,927,040.00 | 29\% |
| Example 170 | 5 | 2x56 | 2 | Private | Shared | 11,520,000.00 | 23,708,160.00 | 106\% |
| Example 171 | 5 | 2x5 | 3 | Private | Shared | 108,000.00 | 126,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 172 | 5 | 2x10 | 3 | Private | Shared | 432,000.00 | 504,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 173 | 5 | 2x15 | 3 | Private | Shared | 972,000.00 | 1,134,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 174 | 5 | 2x20 | 3 | Private | Shared | 1,728,000.00 | 2,016,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 175 | 5 | $2 \times 25$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 2,700,000.00 | 3,150,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 176 | 5 | 2x3.5 | 3 | Private | Shared | 72,000.00 | 61,740.00 | -14\% |
| Example 177 | 5 | $2 \times 7$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 288,000.00 | 246,960.00 | -14\% |
| Example 178 | 5 | $2 \times 14$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 864,000.00 | 987,840.00 | 14\% |
| Example 179 | 5 | $2 \times 28$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 2,304,000.00 | 3,951,360.00 | 72\% |
| Example 180 | 5 | 2x56 | 3 | Private | Shared | 5,760,000.00 | 15,805,440.00 | 174\% |
| Example 181 | 10 | 2x5 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 300,000.00 | 350,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 182 | 10 | $2 \times 10$ | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 1,200,000.00 | 1,400,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 183 | 10 | $2 \times 15$ | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 2,700,000.00 | 3,150,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 184 | 10 | 2x20 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 4,800,000.00 | 5,600,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 185 | 10 | $2 \times 25$ | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 7,500,000.00 | 8,750,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 186 | 10 | 2x3.5 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 200,000.00 | 171,500.00 | -14\% |
| Example 187 | 10 | 2x7 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 800,000.00 | 686,000.00 | -14\% |
| Example 188 | 10 | 2x14 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 2,400,000.00 | 2,744,000.00 | 14\% |
| Example 189 | 10 | 2x28 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 6,400,000.00 | 10,976,000.00 | 72\% |
| Example 190 | 10 | 2x56 | 1 | Commercial | Shared | 16,000,000.00 | 43,904,000.00 | 174\% |
| Example 191 | 10 | 2x5 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 240,000.00 | 210,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 192 | 10 | 2x10 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 960,000.00 | 840,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 193 | 10 | 2x15 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 2,160,000.00 | 1,890,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 194 | 10 | 2x20 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 3,840,000.00 | 3,360,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 195 | 10 | $2 \times 25$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 6,000,000.00 | 5,250,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 196 | 10 | 2x3.5 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 160,000.00 | 102,900.00 | -36\% |
| Example 197 | 10 | $2 \times 7$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 640,000.00 | 411,600.00 | -36\% |
| Example 198 | 10 | 2x14 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 1,920,000.00 | 1,646,400.00 | -14\% |
| Example 199 | 10 | 2x28 | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 5,120,000.00 | 6,585,600.00 | 29\% |
| Example 200 | 10 | $2 \times 56$ | 2 | Commercial | Shared | 12,800,000.00 | 26,342,400.00 | 106\% |
| Example 201 | 10 | $2 \times 5$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 120,000.00 | 140,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 202 | 10 | $2 \times 10$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 480,000.00 | 560,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 203 | 10 | 2x15 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 1,080,000.00 | 1,260,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 204 | 10 | 2x20 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 1,920,000.00 | 2,240,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 205 | 10 | $2 \times 25$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 3,000,000.00 | 3,500,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 206 | 10 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 80,000.00 | 68,600.00 | -14\% |
| Example 207 | 10 | 2x7 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 320,000.00 | 274,400.00 | -14\% |


| Example 208 | 10 | 2x14 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 960,000.00 | 1,097,600.00 | 14\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Example 209 | 10 | $2 \times 28$ | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 2,560,000.00 | 4,390,400.00 | 72\% |
| Example 210 | 10 | 2x56 | 3 | Commercial | Shared | 6,400,000.00 | 17,561,600.00 | 174\% |
| Example 211 | 10 | 2x5 | 1 | Private | Shared | 150,000.00 | 175,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 212 | 10 | $2 \times 10$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 600,000.00 | 700,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 213 | 10 | 2x15 | 1 | Private | Shared | 1,350,000.00 | 1,575,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 214 | 10 | 2x20 | 1 | Private | Shared | 2,400,000.00 | 2,800,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 215 | 10 | $2 \times 25$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 3,750,000.00 | 4,375,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 216 | 10 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 100,000.00 | 85,750.00 | -14\% |
| Example 217 | 10 | $2 \times 7$ | 1 | Private | Shared | 400,000.00 | 343,000.00 | -14\% |
| Example 218 | 10 | 2x14 | 1 | Private | Shared | 1,200,000.00 | 1,372,000.00 | 14\% |
| Example 219 | 10 | 2x28 | 1 | Private | Shared | 3,200,000.00 | 5,488,000.00 | 72\% |
| Example 220 | 10 | 2x56 | 1 | Private | Shared | 8,000,000.00 | 21,952,000.00 | 174\% |
| Example 221 | 10 | $2 \times 5$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 120,000.00 | 105,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 222 | 10 | 2×10 | 2 | Private | Shared | 480,000.00 | 420,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 223 | 10 | 2x15 | 2 | Private | Shared | 1,080,000.00 | 945,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 224 | 10 | 2x20 | 2 | Private | Shared | 1,920,000.00 | 1,680,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 225 | 10 | $2 \times 25$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 3,000,000.00 | 2,625,000.00 | -13\% |
| Example 226 | 10 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 80,000.00 | 51,450.00 | -36\% |
| Example 227 | 10 | $2 \times 7$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 320,000.00 | 205,800.00 | -36\% |
| Example 228 | 10 | $2 \times 14$ | 2 | Private | Shared | 960,000.00 | 823,200.00 | -14\% |
| Example 229 | 10 | 2x28 | 2 | Private | Shared | 2,560,000.00 | 3,292,800.00 | 29\% |
| Example 230 | 10 | 2x56 | 2 | Private | Shared | 6,400,000.00 | 13,171,200.00 | 106\% |
| Example 231 | 10 | 2x5 | 3 | Private | Shared | 60,000.00 | 70,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 232 | 10 | 2x10 | 3 | Private | Shared | 240,000.00 | 280,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 233 | 10 | $2 \times 15$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 540,000.00 | 630,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 234 | 10 | $2 \times 20$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 960,000.00 | 1,120,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 235 | 10 | 2x25 | 3 | Private | Shared | 1,500,000.00 | 1,750,000.00 | 17\% |
| Example 236 | 10 | $2 \times 3.5$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 40,000.00 | 34,300.00 | -14\% |
| Example 237 | 10 | $2 \times 7$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 160,000.00 | 137,200.00 | -14\% |
| Example 238 | 10 | $2 \times 14$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 480,000.00 | 548,800.00 | 14\% |
| Example 239 | 10 | $2 \times 28$ | 3 | Private | Shared | 1,280,000.00 | 2,195,200.00 | 72\% |
| Example 240 | 10 | 2x56 | 3 | Private | Shared | 3,200,000.00 | 8,780,800.00 | 174\% |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In subsequent discussions with the client, we have mutually agreed to include 2.3 GHz and 10 GHz .

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Midpoint of range
    ${ }^{3}$ For The Bahamas, this band includes AWS frequencies. For Jamaica, this band does not include AWS frequencies; these are reported in the line below.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ https://www.reuters.com/article/us-health-france-5g/frances-telecom-regulator-postpones-5g-auction-due-tocoronavirus-idUSKBN21K2M0

[^3]:    ${ }^{5}$ This base fee includes an adjustment by a factor of 2/3.5 to account for revisions to the bandwidth factor and network type factor.

[^4]:    ${ }^{6}$ This base fee includes an adjustment by a factor of $5 / 3.5$ to account for revisions to the zone factor, bandwidth factor and network type factor.

[^5]:    Source: Regulator websites

